	Case 4:07-md-01819-CW Document 1029 Filed 07/02/10 Page 1 of 4	
1	Counsel listed on Signature Page	
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		TEC DICTRICT COLIDT
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
9	IN RE STATIC RANDOM ACCESS) Master File No. M:07-cv-01819-CW	
11	MEMORY (SRAM) ANTITRUST LITIGATION	MDL No. 1819
12		
13	This Document Relates to:	FINAL JUDGMENT OF DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE AS TO THE TOSHIBA
14	ALL DIRECT PURCHASER)	DEFENDANTS
15	ACTIONS)	Time: 2:00 p.m. Date: July 1, 2010
16		Judge: Hon. Claudia Wilken, Courtroom 2
17)	
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

This matter has come before the Court to determine whether there is any cause why this Court should not approve the settlement with Toshiba Corporation and Toshiba America Electronic Components, Inc. Defendants ("Defendants") set forth in the settlement agreement ("Settlement"), dated February 11, 2010, relating to the above-captioned litigation, *In re Static Random Access Memory (SRAM) Antitrust Litigation*, Case No. M:07-cv-1819 CW (N.D. Cal.) ("Action"). The Court, after carefully considering all papers filed and proceedings held herein and otherwise being fully informed in the premises, has determined (1) that the Settlement should be approved, and (2) that there is no just reason for delay of the entry of this final Judgment approving the Settlement. Accordingly, the Court directs entry of Judgment which shall constitute a final adjudication of this case on the merits as to the parties to the Settlement. Good cause appearing therefor, it is:

ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED THAT:

- The Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this litigation, and all actions
 within this litigation and over the parties to the Settlement, including all members of the Class
 and the Defendants.
- 2. The definitions of terms set forth in the Settlement are incorporated hereby as though fully set forth in this Judgment.
- 3. The Court hereby finally approves and confirms the settlement set forth in the Settlement and finds that said settlement is, in all respects, fair, reasonable and adequate to the Class pursuant to Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.
- 4. The persons/entities identified in the Notice re: Class Notice and Requests for Exclusion (Dkt. No. 679), filed on April 20, 2009, have timely and validly requested exclusion from the Class and, therefore, are excluded. Such persons/entities are not included in or bound by this Final Judgment. Such persons/entities are not entitled to any recovery for the settlement proceeds obtained through the Settlement.
- 5. This Court hereby dismisses on the merits and with prejudice the Action, certified as a class action on September 29, 2008, in favor of each and all of the Defendants, with each party to bear their own costs and attorneys' fees (subject to any motion to be made by

LAW OFFICES
COTCHETT,
PITRE, &
MCCARTHY

representative Plaintiff/Class Counsel to seek attorneys' fees, costs, expenses, including expert fees and costs, and other such items from the Settlement Fund – as provided for in the Settlement).

- 6. All persons and entities who are Releasors are hereby barred and enjoined from commencing, prosecuting, or continuing any claims, demands, actions, suits, or causes of action, or otherwise seeking to establish liability, against any Toshiba Releasee based, in whole or in part, upon any of the Released Claims or conduct at issue in the Released Claims (as defined and limited in the Settlement).
- 7. The Toshiba Releasees are hereby and forever released and discharged with respect to any and all claims, demands, actions, suits, or causes of action which the Releasees had or have arising out of or related to any of the Released Claims (as defined and limited in the Settlement).
- 8. The notice given to the Class of the settlement set forth in the Settlement and other matters set forth therein was the best notice practicable under the circumstances, including individual notice to all members of the Class who could be identified through reasonable efforts. Said notice provided due and adequate notice of the proceedings and of the maters set forth therein, including the proposed settlement set forth in the Settlement, to all persons entitled to such notice, and said notice fully satisfied the requirements of Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the requirements of due process.
 - 9. No Class Member has objected to the Settlement.
- 10. Without affecting the finality of this Judgment in any way, this Court hereby retains continuing jurisdiction over: (a) implementation of this settlement and any distribution to Class Members pursuant to further orders of this Court; (b) disposition of the Settlement Fund (c) hearing and determining applications by representative Plaintiff/Class Counsel for attorneys' fees, costs, expenses, including expert fees and costs, and other such items; (d) the Class Action until the final judgements contemplated hereby have become effective and each and every act agreed to be performed by the parties all have been performed pursuant to the Settlement; (e) hearing and ruling on any matters relating to the plan of allocation of settlement proceeds; and